

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/749,584 | 12/31/2003 | Daryl Carvis Cromer | RPS920030219US1(4035) | 2711 | | |
| 55970 LENOVO (SIN | 7590 04/08/200 NAPORE) PTE, LTD, (| | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| c/o SCHUBERT OSTERRIEDER & NICKELSON PLLC | | | TIV, BA | TIV, BACKHEAN | | |
| 6013 CANNOI S14 | 6013 CANNON MTN. DR. S14 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| AUSTIN, TX | 78749 | | 2451 | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 04/08/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/749.584 CROMER ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | BACKHEAN TIV | 2451 | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | king court review | | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| Erik Osterrieder Reg # 48,966 comfirmed on 3/26/0 | 9, that there was no response file | d to the Final Off | ice action. | | | | |
| /John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451 | | | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office